

## Waverley Community Partnership 2011/12 Community Themed Bids Received & Proposed Funding Levels

	Grant Approved 2006/07	Grant approved for 2008/09	Grant approved for 2009/10	Grant approved for 2010/11	Grant requested for 2011/12	Recommended grant	% difference compared to current grant	Notes item
ORGANISATION	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(£)	(%)	
<b>Community Themed Organisations</b>								
Rowleys (Age Concern Waverley)	44,030	46,968	48,400	50,000	50,000	<b>50,000</b>	0%	1
Age Concern Farncombe	43,010	45,835	48,127	50,000	51,500	<b>50,000</b>	0%	1
Age Concern, Haslemere & District	43,010	45,835	47,210	50,000	55,000	<b>50,000</b>	0%	1
Brightwells Gostrey Centre	43,010	45,835	45,835	46,150	46,150	<b>46,150</b>	0%	1
The Clockhouse (Home of Milford & Villages Day Centre)	45,670	49,000	49,000	49,150	49,150	<b>49,150</b>	0%	1
Farnham Assist *		n/a	n/a	n/a	15,000	0	n/a	2
Age Concern Waverley	32,770	34,938	36,000	38,000	38,000	34,200	-10%	
Surrey Community Action (Village Halls)	0	0	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	0%	3
Citizens Advice Waverley	201,350	222,499	227,823	227,250	227,820	<b>212,000</b>	-6.7%	
Voluntary Action South West Surrey*		n/a	n/a	n/a	10,260	0	n/a	4
Surrey Law Centre *		n/a	n/a	n/a	25,000	0	n/a	5
St Marks Church and Community Centre (Community Development Worker) *		n/a	n/a	n/a	6,900	<b>3,200</b>	n/a	6
Cranfold Job Seekers Club	0	1,000	1,000	500	500	0	-100%	7
Surrey Compact *		n/a	1,000	0	2,000	0	n/a	8
Enterprise First	4,500	4,658	4,658	4,658	4,658	0	-100%	9
3 Counties Money Advice		n/a	n/a	200	2,000	<b>200</b>	0%	10
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>452,850</b>	<b>496,568</b>	<b>511,053</b>	<b>517,908</b>	<b>585,938</b>	<b>496,900</b>		
<b>Emergency Funding Provision Remaining Balance</b>		n/a	1,839	n/a		<b>2,710</b>		
<b>Total Funding for Environment &amp; Leisure Themed Organisations</b>		<b>132,358</b>	<b>126,034</b>	<b>121,018</b>	<b>124,630</b>	<b>96,520</b>		
<b>OVERALL TOTAL FOR YEAR (including environment &amp; leisure themed organisations)</b>		<b>628,926</b>	<b>638,926</b>	<b>638,926</b>	<b>710,568</b>	<b>596,130</b>	-6.7%	

\* note - these organisations are new applicants to the scheme for 2011/12.

## **Comments on Proposed Funding Levels**

Day Centres (note 1) : It was felt that all day centres were providing a similar, high priority service to vulnerable members of the community. Making proposals on funding was a difficult task this year due to the budgetary constraints of the scheme and reduced grants were unavoidable. The same percentage decrease in funding for each of the day centres was proposed.

Farnham Assist (note 2) : The organisation is a new applicant to the scheme and offers a new approach to supporting older people. However, taking into account the grant scheme's reduced budget this year, and the proposal to reduce grants for the day centres, a nil grant was unavoidable.

Surrey Community Action Village Halls Adviser (note 3) : Waverley organisations are the highest users of the service and funding goes directly towards a post. Given that each of the Surrey boroughs fund the post at the same levels, with a larger grant from Surrey County Council, the same level of funding was proposed.

Voluntary Action South West Surrey (note 4) : The organisation is a new applicant to the scheme. Given the budgetary constraints of the scheme and that some financial support is provided to the organisation towards the Haslemere and Farnham Volunteer Bureaux, a nil grant was proposed.

Surrey Law Centre (note 5) : The Council currently provides funding towards the Law Centre's running costs through the Voluntary Grants Panel, therefore a nil grant was proposed.

St Marks Community Development Worker (note 6) : The value of the post to the Community at Ockford Ridge was recognised. A grant of £2,000 was proposed as a contribution towards the community development work. It was also felt that St Marks church may wish to consider making a contribution towards the post.

Cranfold Job Seekers Club (note 7) : The value of the organisation's work to help people find employment was recognised, however the Club's grant application stated that given its level of reserves it could continue without a grant for another year. Considering the budgetary constraints of the scheme, a nil grant was proposed.

Surrey Compact (note 8) : The service is driven by Surrey County Council. The Compact is embedded within the Council and the need to contribute towards a Director's post was not considered a priority.

Enterprise First (note 9) : Waverley's grant is 1.6% of Enterprise First's income. It was considered that the organisation could financially withstand a nil grant this year although the value of the organisation's partnership with the Council was recognised.

3 Counties Money Advice (note 10) : The organisation's good work and benefit to the community was recognised, however, given the budgetary constraints of the scheme and that Citizens Advice provides a similar service, a reduced grant was proposed.